

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inited States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
1.0-Box 450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	NO. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,181		06/15/2001	Joerg Schwenk	2345/152 3107 EXAMINER	
26646	7590	02/22/2006			
	N & KENY	YON LLP	SON, LINH L D		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 10	, i.i.			2135	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/807,181	SCHWENK, JOERG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Linh LD Son	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N     2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This     3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 4-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 4, and 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/807,181

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responding to the Amendment received on 11/25/05.
- 2. Claims 4-6 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. Claims 4-6 consist solely of mathematical operations without practical application in the technological arts or simply manipulate abstract ideas without practical application in the technological arts.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,181

Art Unit: 2135

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caronni et al, US Patent No. 6049878, hereinafter "Caronni".
- 7. As per claim 4, Caronni discloses "A process for establishing a common cryptographic key for n subscribers using the Diffie-Hellman process" in (Col 2 lines 59-67). "Comprising: assigning the n subscribers respective leaves of a binary-structured tree which has a root (TEK), n Leaves, is of depth [log<sub>2</sub>N] and has tree-nodes, for each one of the n subscribers, generating a respective secret, the respective secret being assigned to the one of the n Leaves to which the one of the n subscribers is assigned" in (Col 6 lines 20-40, lines 50-65, and Figure 4); "establishing secrets consecutively in a direction of the root of the tree for all k nodes of the tree starting from the n Leaves of the tree across an entire hierarchy of the tree, wherein two already known secrets are combined using the Diffie-Hellman process to form a new common secret, the new common secret being allocated to a common node so that a common cryptographic key

Application/Control Number: 09/807,181

Art Unit: 2135

for all n subscribers is allocated to a last one of tree nodes, the last one of the tree nodes being the root of the tree" in (Col 2 lines 59-67, and Col 8 line 55 to Col 9 line 10).

8. As to dependent claim 6, Caronni discloses "The process as recited in claim 4, further comprising: excluding a selected one of the n subscribers from the tree, the excluding steps including: removing a first one of the n leaves of the tree to which the selected one of the n subscribers is assigned; removing a second one of the n leaves, the second one of the n leaves sharing a common node with the first one of the n leaves, the common node with the first one of the n leaves becoming a new leaf assigned to the one of the n subscribers to which the second one of the n leaves is assigned; and starting from the new leaf of the tree in a direction of the root of the tree, establishing new secrets only in those of the tree nodes which lie within a framework of the tree on a path from the new leaf to the tree root" in (Col 8 lines 21-33, lines 45-55, and Col 9 lines 5-9).

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. As per remark on the 35 U.S.C **€** 101 Rejection, Applicant argues that the Guidelines published by the USPTO, if the invention produces a useful result, i.e., the

Application/Control Number: 09/807,181 Page 5

Art Unit: 2135

invention has a practical application in the technological arts, then it should not be rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 101. Examiner disagrees that the claim 4 recites a practical application. The claimed language merely recites a mathematical model to update secret (i.e. number) in a binary-structured tree from the leaves to the root of the tree direction. There is no practical application in a mathematical model of updating a number. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C \$ 101 Rejection dated 05/17/05 is maintained.

11. As per remark on page 5 2nd paragraph, Applicant argues that Caronni reference does not appear to identically describe the feature "of establishing secrets consecutively in a direction of the root of the tree ... the last one of the tree nodes being the root of the tree. Examiner disagrees, Caronni clearly teaches this limitation in Col 8 lines 45-55. Caronni clearly discloses that the "the key assigned to the second lowest node level is encrypted with the keys of its two descendants or children. ... This process is repeated all the way up to the root of the tree which holds the actual traffic encryption key." Therefore, the rejection basis for claims 4-6 dated 05/17/05 is maintained.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son Examiner Art Unit 2135 Hos Examiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 212